

REASON TOGETHER CHURCH
REASON TOGETHER STUDY

THE THORN IN HIS FLESH

*WHAT WAS PAUL'S THORN
IN THE FLESH?*

2 CORINTHIANS 12:7-10 (KJV)



Dr. Thomas W. Hawksley
Pastor & Teacher

www.ReasonTogether.Church

Aloha.

Welcome to Reason Together Church.

Whether this is your first time with us or you have been part of this family from the beginning, we are grateful that God has brought you here today.

If you are visiting, please understand that this is a place where sincere questions are welcome.

You do not have to pretend that you already understand everything.

You do not have to be afraid of asking a difficult question.

Our invitation is simple.

Bring your questions.

Open your Bible.

Let us Reason Together the Word of God.

We also want to recognize any honored guests who may be with us today.

Thank you for sharing this time with our church family.

After the service, please join us in the Reason Together Cafe for a cup of coffee and conversation.

The coffee is free, and so are the refills on the conversation.

Our Keiki Kids Center is also available for families who need it.

If this is your first visit, we have a very simple guest card.

We ask only for your first name and an email address so we can thank you for coming and let you know what is happening here.

Let us pray.

Heavenly Father,

Thank You for gathering us around Your Word.

Give us the humility to accept everything You have revealed and the restraint not to claim what You have left unrevealed.

Open our Bibles, open our minds and open our hearts.

Be especially near to those who have entered this room carrying pain, weakness, opposition or an unanswered prayer.

Some are tired of asking for the same burden to be lifted.

Some are wondering whether their weakness means that You are disappointed in them.

Some are afraid of spiritual opposition.

Some are quietly struggling with circumstances no one else can see.

Use the testimony of Paul to turn our attention away from speculation and toward the sufficient grace of Jesus Christ.

Protect us from pride when life is going well.

Protect us from despair when life becomes difficult.

If anyone listening has never personally trusted Jesus Christ for salvation, use Your Word to draw that person to the Savior today.

We ask this in the precious name of Jesus.

Amen.

Our primary Scripture reading comes from Second Corinthians chapter 12, beginning with verse 1.

The King James Bible says:

"It is not expedient for me doubtless to glory. I will come to visions and revelations of the Lord.

"I knew a man in Christ above fourteen years ago, whether in the body, I cannot tell; or whether out of the body, I cannot tell: God knoweth; such an one caught up to the third heaven.

"And I knew such a man, whether in the body, or out of the body, I cannot tell: God knoweth;

"How that he was caught up into paradise, and heard unspeakable words, which it is not lawful for a man to utter.

"Of such an one will I glory: yet of myself I will not glory, but in mine infirmities.

"For though I would desire to glory, I shall not be a fool; for I will say the truth: but now I forbear, lest any man should think of me above that which he seeth me to be, or that he heareth of me.

"And lest I should be exalted above measure through the abundance of the revelations, there was given to me a thorn in the flesh, the messenger of Satan to buffet me, lest I should be exalted above measure.

"For this thing I besought the Lord thrice, that it might depart from me.

"And he said unto me, My grace is sufficient for thee: for my strength is made perfect in weakness.

"Most gladly therefore will I rather glory in my infirmities, that the power of Christ may rest upon me.

"Therefore I take pleasure in infirmities, in reproaches, in necessities, in persecutions, in distresses for Christ's sake: for when I am weak, then am I strong."

Before we begin our study, let me briefly mention giving.

We do not pass an offering plate at Reason Together Church.

If you are visiting, you are never expected to give.

If you are a member of another church, we encourage you to support your home church.

For those who consider this your church home and feel led by God to participate, there is a giving box available in the back.

Giving should never be the result of embarrassment, pressure or manipulation.

The Bible teaches that God loves a cheerful giver.

Now that we have taken care of the family information, let us settle in and turn our attention to one of the most discussed mysteries in the life of the Apostle Paul.

Most of us have heard someone describe a difficult person or an ongoing problem as a thorn in the side.

Sometimes the phrase is used for an inconvenience.

Sometimes it describes something that irritates us.

Sometimes it is almost used as a joke.

But whatever Paul was describing was not a small inconvenience.

It battered him so severely that he repeatedly pleaded with the Lord to remove it.

For nearly two thousand years, readers have tried to identify it.

Some have said it was an eye disease.

Some have suggested malaria, epilepsy, severe headaches or another recurring illness.

Some believe Paul carried lasting injuries from beatings and stoning.

Some think he had a speech impediment or an unimpressive physical appearance.

Some have proposed depression, guilt over his former persecution of the church or a persistent temptation.

Others believe the thorn was persecution, false teachers or one particular human opponent.

Still others understand the messenger of Satan to be a direct demonic attacker.

There are enough proposals to fill a medical encyclopedia and a church directory.

But there is one detail all of those proposals must face.

Paul never names the visible form of the thorn.

He tells us what it did.

He tells us where the hostility came from.

He tells us why it was permitted.

He tells us how he prayed.

He tells us what Christ answered.

But he never gives us the diagnosis.

Paul withheld the diagnosis, but he supplied the theology.

That distinction will guide us today.

We are not going to choose the most dramatic possibility and call it certain.

We are not going to pretend the Bible says less than it says.

We are also not going to pretend it says more than it says.

We will begin with what is certain.

Then we will consider what the Biblical context strongly suggests.

Finally, we will allow the mystery to lead us to the truth Paul wanted us to see.

The first thing Paul tells us is that the thorn came after extraordinary spiritual privilege.

Paul had received visions and revelations of the Lord.

He describes a man caught up into the third heaven.

He says this man was caught up into paradise and heard words that could not lawfully be repeated.

Paul speaks in the third person, but the passage makes clear that he is describing his own experience.

He had seen what most believers would long to see.

He had heard what most teachers would be eager to repeat.

Yet Paul did not return from paradise with a book claiming to reveal every secret of heaven.

He did not build his ministry around details God had forbidden him to disclose.

He would not use revelation as a platform for self-promotion.

Still, the experience created a danger.

Paul says it twice in verse 7.

"Lest I should be exalted above measure."

The repetition tells us why the thorn was permitted.

The danger was pride.

Spiritual privilege had not made Paul incapable of self-exaltation.

Seeing heaven did not remove the weakness of human flesh.

Hearing unspeakable words did not eliminate the need for humility.

Paul had been taken upward in revelation.

Something painful would keep him low in dependence.

That should make every Christian leader pause.

Knowledge does not make us immune to pride.

Ministry experience does not make us immune to pride.

Answered prayer does not make us immune to pride.

Unusual spiritual experiences do not make us immune to pride.

Sometimes the greatest danger arrives immediately after the greatest blessing.

The heart can take a gift from God and use it to build a monument to itself.

Paul understood that the thorn was not merely punishment for pride he had already committed.

It was preventive.

God was protecting him from where pride could take him.

This gives us a category we do not always consider.

God sometimes protects His children through weakness.

We usually recognize protection when God removes a danger.

Paul learned to recognize protection when God permitted a limitation.

The thorn wounded Paul's flesh while protecting his soul.

The thing Paul wanted removed may have been one of the things God was using to preserve him.

That does not mean every painful experience has the same purpose.

It does not give us permission to look at another person's suffering and announce why God allowed it.

Job's friends made that mistake.

They possessed explanations God had not given them.

We should not repeat their error.

But Paul tells us the purpose of his own thorn.

It was permitted lest he should be exalted above measure.

Now notice the wording.

Paul says, "There was given to me a thorn in the flesh."

The word given is surprising.

Paul does not call the thorn a gift because Satan had good intentions.

Satan never wanted Paul to become humble, holy or useful.

The messenger of Satan came to buffet him.

Satan's purpose was hostile.

Yet behind Satan's malice stood God's sovereign permission.

Satan could not touch Paul without limits.

Satan supplied the hostility, but God controlled the boundary and determined the outcome.

We see that same distinction in the book of Job.

Satan wanted to destroy Job's faith.

God established what Satan could and could not do.

God remained sovereign without sharing Satan's evil intention.

The same event could contain two very different purposes.

Satan intended destruction.

God intended a revelation of faith that would survive the assault.

We see something similar when Joseph spoke to his brothers in Genesis 50:20.

He said:

"But as for you, ye thought evil against me; but God meant it unto good."

Joseph did not say the evil was imaginary.

He did not say his brothers had meant well.

He said their evil intention remained beneath God's sovereign intention.

The cross gives us the greatest example.

Wicked men crucified the sinless Son of God.

Their intention was evil.

Yet God used that very act to accomplish salvation.

God is never the author of evil.

Satan is never the author of holiness.

But Satan can never escape the sovereignty of God.

Now let us examine the picture Paul chose.

He calls it a thorn.

The Greek word is skolops.

It can describe a sharp stake, a pointed object or something that pierces the flesh.

It does not sound like a harmless splinter that causes momentary irritation.

It communicates pain, severity and persistence.

Imagine something sharp enough to enter the flesh and remain painful whenever the body moves.

Paul's thorn was not a nuisance in his schedule.

It was a stake driven through his sense of strength.

But Paul did not invent this Biblical picture.

The Old Testament had already used thorn language in several important places.

Numbers chapter 33 records God's warning to Israel about the inhabitants of Canaan.

Verse 55 says:

"But if ye will not drive out the inhabitants of the land from before you; then it shall come to pass, that those which ye let remain of them shall be pricks in your eyes, and thorns in your sides, and shall vex you in the land wherein ye dwell."

The thorns in that passage were hostile people.

They would remain nearby, oppose Israel and continually trouble them.

Joshua chapter 23 repeats the warning.

Verse 13 says the remaining nations would become:

"Snares and traps unto you, and scourges in your sides, and thorns in your eyes."

Judges chapter 2 uses the same basic image.

Ezekiel chapter 28 speaks of the nations surrounding Israel as a pricking brier and a grieving thorn.

This background matters.

It does not prove that Paul's thorn was one particular person.

But it does prove that a reader familiar with the Bible would not automatically hear the word thorn and think only of a disease.

Before Christians turned Paul's thorn into a medical diagnosis, the Bible had already used thorns to describe hostile people.

There is another important connection.

The ancient Greek translation of the Old Testament uses the same basic word for thorn in Numbers 33 and Ezekiel 28 that Paul uses in Second Corinthians 12.

Paul may have been drawing upon a familiar Biblical image of hostile opposition that remains close enough to wound and hinder.

That possibility becomes stronger when we examine the immediate context of Second Corinthians.

Paul did not write chapter 12 as an isolated reflection upon suffering.

He was defending his apostleship against people who had entered the Corinthian church and attacked his ministry.

Second Corinthians chapter 10, verse 10 records one of their criticisms.

They said:

"For his letters, say they, are weighty and powerful; but his bodily presence is weak, and his speech contemptible."

They criticized his appearance.

They criticized his speech.

They challenged his authority.

They promoted themselves as superior spiritual leaders.

In chapter 11 Paul exposes them.

Verses 13 through 15 say:

"For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ.

"And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light.

"Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their works."

Notice the language.

False apostles were acting as Satan's ministers.

Satan disguises himself as an angel of light.

Then only a short distance later Paul speaks of a messenger of Satan.

The Greek word translated messenger is angelos.

It can refer to an angelic messenger.

It can also refer to a human messenger.

The word alone does not decide whether Paul meant a demon, a human opponent or demonic opposition working through human agents.

But the context tells us we must take the Satanic source seriously.

"Messenger of Satan" is not a decorative way of saying that life was difficult.

Paul identifies real hostile agency.

Chapter 11 also gives us a long record of persecution.

Paul had been beaten.

He had been imprisoned.

He had been stoned and left for dead.

He had suffered shipwreck.

He had faced robbers, hostile countrymen, hostile Gentiles and false brethren.

He had experienced weariness, pain, hunger, thirst, cold and nakedness.

He carried the daily pressure of caring for the churches.

When Paul reaches chapter 12 and speaks of infirmities, reproaches, necessities, persecutions and distresses, the thorn stands within that larger landscape of opposition.

That is why many careful interpreters believe the strongest contextual possibility is hostile Satanic opposition expressed through false teachers, persecutors or one particular opponent.

Others believe it was a direct demonic attack.

Some believe the repeated persecution produced a lasting physical condition.

Those possibilities do not have to be separated as neatly as we sometimes separate them.

The messenger may have had a demonic source, a human face or both.

Satan often works through lies, accusations, persecution and people who yield themselves to evil purposes.

At the same time, we must leave room for a physical manifestation.

Paul calls it a thorn in the flesh.

Galatians chapter 4 refers to an infirmity of the flesh during Paul's ministry among the Galatians.

Paul says the Galatians would once have plucked out their own eyes and given them to him.

In Galatians chapter 6 Paul mentions writing with large letters.

Those details have caused many to suggest impaired eyesight.

Second Corinthians records the criticism that Paul's bodily presence was weak.

Years of beatings, deprivation and stoning could certainly have left physical damage.

But none of those passages calls the condition Paul's thorn.

The eye language may be an expression of deep affection.

Large letters may have another explanation.

The evidence permits a bodily possibility, but it does not identify a disease with certainty.

The same caution applies to proposals such as malaria, epilepsy, migraines or a speech disorder.

They may be possible.

They cannot be established from the text.

What about the claim that Paul's thorn was guilt over his former persecution of Christians?

Paul never forgot that history.

He called himself the chief of sinners and the least of the apostles.

But Paul also knew that the grace of Christ had forgiven him.

Nothing in Second Corinthians 12 identifies remembered guilt as the messenger of Satan.

What about a persistent temptation?

Christians do experience temptation.

Paul wrote honestly about the conflict between flesh and Spirit.

But the passage does not name one private temptation as the thorn.

We should never turn speculation into certainty simply because a proposal can be made to fit part of Paul's life.

Now let us address the demonic possibility directly.

Could the messenger of Satan have been a demon assigned to harass Paul?

The language allows that possibility.

Paul clearly understood that demonic forces could oppose ministry.

First Thessalonians chapter 2, verse 18 says:

"Wherefore we would have come unto you, even I Paul, once and again; but Satan hindered us."

Jesus told Peter in Luke 22:

"Simon, Simon, behold, Satan hath desired to have you, that he may sift you as wheat."

Job experienced a direct Satanic attack under God's limitation.

The New Testament never treats spiritual warfare as imaginary.

However, demonic attack must not be confused with demonic possession.

Paul belonged to Jesus Christ.

He was indwelt by the Holy Spirit.

The passage never portrays Satan entering Paul, owning Paul or controlling Paul from within.

It portrays a hostile messenger buffeting him.

That is external attack, not internal possession.

A believer belongs to Christ and is not Satan's dwelling place.

Satan could strike Paul, but he could not own Paul.

That distinction is pastorally important.

Some believers experience temptation, accusation or spiritual opposition and begin to fear that they somehow belong to the enemy.

Attack is not ownership.

Temptation is not possession.

Opposition is not abandonment.

If a demonic agent was involved in Paul's thorn, it operated only under divine limitation.

Paul's life remained in the hands of Christ.

We should also notice what Paul did not do.

He did not become fascinated with the messenger.

He did not spend the chapter naming demons.

He did not make Satan the center of the testimony.

He identified the hostile source, then turned his attention to the Lord.

Spiritual warfare should make us more attentive to Christ, not more fascinated with demons.

At this point someone may ask, "Which interpretation do you believe is most likely?"

The Old Testament thorn imagery and the immediate context of false apostles give hostile opposition substantial weight.

It is reasonable to believe Paul faced Satanic persecution working through people and perhaps producing bodily weakness.

A direct external demonic attacker also remains possible.

What we cannot responsibly do is name the exact form as though Paul had named it for us.

The precise form remains an open question.

The hostile source does not.

The preventive purpose does not.

Christ's answer does not.

Paul tells us the messenger was sent to buffet him.

The word carries the force of striking with the fist.

Its grammatical form suggests repeated battering.

This was not one unpleasant afternoon.

The attack kept coming.

Perhaps that is one reason Paul prayed as he did.

Verse 8 says:

"For this thing I besought the Lord thrice, that it might depart from me."

Paul did not pretend the thorn felt pleasant.

He wanted it gone.

He prayed specifically.

He prayed repeatedly.

He prayed earnestly.

He prayed to the Lord.

This protects us from romanticizing suffering.

Pain is not made holy merely because it remains.

There is nothing unspiritual about asking God for relief.

There is nothing faithless about seeking appropriate medical care.

There is nothing wrong with establishing safe boundaries against an abusive person.

There is nothing wrong with asking a church to pray against persecution or spiritual attack.

Paul asked for removal.

We may ask for removal.

The fact that Paul prayed three times does not create a rule that Christians may pray only three times.

Jesus taught persistence in prayer.

Paul's three petitions show how focused and sincere the request became.

Perhaps the Lord gave a decisive answer after the third season of prayer.

The important point is that faithful prayer did not produce the answer Paul initially wanted.

That does not mean Paul's faith was too small.

It does not mean he had secret sin that prevented healing.

It does not mean he used the wrong words.

It does not mean he failed to claim a promise.

The apostle who had seen extraordinary miracles asked the Lord to remove his own affliction, and the Lord supplied a different answer.

We should be very careful before blaming suffering Christians for the suffering that remains.

Paul's prayer was not denied because his faith was too small.

It was answered according to a wisdom larger than his request.

Notice also whom Paul addressed.

He says he besought the Lord.

The answer came:

"My grace is sufficient for thee: for my strength is made perfect in weakness."

Paul immediately speaks of the power of Christ resting upon him.

The natural reading is that Paul prayed to Christ and Christ answered him.

The risen Lord did not explain the diagnosis.

He revealed the provision.

"My grace is sufficient for thee."

Every word matters.

My grace.

Not Paul's willpower.

Not Paul's experience.

Not Paul's education.

Not Paul's reputation.

Christ's grace.

Is sufficient.

Not might become sufficient after the thorn is removed.

Not was sufficient during one past crisis.

Is sufficient.

For thee.

The answer was personal.

Christ did not give Paul a cold theological slogan.

He gave Paul a promise addressed to his weakness.

Sufficient does not mean barely enough to keep Paul alive.

It means adequate for every demand God would permit him to face.

It means Paul would lack nothing necessary to remain faithful.

Grace did not make the thorn painless.

Grace made Paul capable of faithfulness within the pain.

Christ did not tell Paul that the thorn was small.

He told Paul that His grace was sufficient.

Then Christ explained why removal was not the only possible victory.

"For my strength is made perfect in weakness."

The word translated strength is also translated power.

Christ's power reaches its intended display when human weakness can no longer take the credit.

That does not mean Christ's power is incomplete without our suffering.

It means weakness creates the setting in which the sufficiency of Christ becomes unmistakable.

When Paul appears strong in himself, people may praise Paul.

When Paul remains faithful under pressures that should have crushed him, people must look beyond Paul to the grace sustaining him.

Paul asked Christ to remove what made him weak.

Christ revealed that weakness could become a platform for divine power.

Removal would have displayed Christ's authority over the thorn.

Sustaining grace displayed Christ's power within Paul.

God sometimes wins by removing the trial.

God sometimes wins by carrying His child through it.

God sometimes wins by healing the body.

God sometimes wins by making a weakened body the instrument of faithful ministry.

God sometimes changes the circumstance.

God sometimes changes the believer within the circumstance.

God may do both over time.

We must never use this passage to claim that healing is unimportant.

Jesus healed the sick.

The church is instructed to pray.

Neither should we use it to claim that every faithful prayer must produce immediate physical healing.

Paul's experience will not allow either extreme.

The answer was not less than Paul requested.

It was greater than Paul knew to request.

Paul asked for escape.

Christ supplied endurance and purpose.

Paul asked for restored strength.

Christ supplied perfected power.

Paul asked for a changed circumstance.

Christ gave him a changed understanding of the circumstance.

Then Paul's response changed.

He says:

"Most gladly therefore will I rather glory in my infirmities, that the power of Christ may rest upon me."

Paul does not say he learned to enjoy pain for its own sake.

He does not call evil good.

He does not praise Satan for sending the messenger.

He rejoices in what Christ accomplishes through acknowledged dependence.

The phrase "rest upon me" is especially beautiful.

The Greek word carries the picture of dwelling, lodging or pitching a tent over someone.

It is related to the idea of a tabernacle.

Paul sees Christ's power covering and inhabiting the place of weakness.

The thorn remained in the flesh.

But the power of Christ rested over Paul.

Paul's weakness became the address where the power of Christ took up residence.

In the Old Testament, the glory of God rested upon the tabernacle.

The structure itself could not produce the glory.

The presence came from God.

Paul does not claim that he literally became the Old Testament tabernacle.

But the word picture points in that direction.

The place Paul considered weak and inadequate became the place covered by the presence of Christ.

Paul was not empty in his weakness.

He was covered by Christ.

That explains the paradox in verse 10.

"For when I am weak, then am I strong."

Weakness does not contain magical power.

Pain does not automatically improve character.

Some people become bitter through suffering.

Some become isolated.

Some allow pain to excuse destructive behavior.

Paul was not strong merely because he hurt.

He was strong because weakness drove him away from self-reliance and into dependence upon Christ.

Weakness becomes strength when it becomes the meeting place between human insufficiency and Christ's sufficient grace.

Paul lists infirmities, reproaches, necessities, persecutions and distresses.

That list reaches beyond a single medical condition.

It gathers the repeated pressures of ministry in a hostile world.

Whatever the precise thorn was, Paul learned a truth that applied to every form of weakness.

The power of Christ was not limited by what limited Paul.

Second Corinthians chapter 4, verse 7 says:

"But we have this treasure in earthen vessels, that the excellency of the power may be of God, and not of us."

An earthen vessel is ordinary, fragile and easily broken.

The treasure is not valuable because of the container.

The container becomes useful because of the treasure.

God did not need Paul to appear invincible.

God wanted the Gospel to appear powerful.

First Corinthians chapter 1 says God chose weak things to confound the things which are mighty.

Why?

"That no flesh should glory in his presence."

The world builds platforms around visible strength.

Christ builds testimonies around dependent faithfulness.

The world says weakness disqualifies.

Christ says weakness surrendered to Him can become the stage upon which His power is displayed.

That truth has several important applications.

First, it speaks to the person living with persistent illness or physical limitation.

This passage does not identify every illness as a special thorn assigned by God.

It does not tell you to stop praying or seeking treatment.

It does tell you that no physical weakness places you beyond the reach of Christ's grace.

Your usefulness to God is not determined by physical perfection.

Your value is not measured by how much energy you have today.

Christ's grace can be sufficient without pretending the illness is easy.

Second, it speaks to the person facing hostile opposition.

Other people may intend harm.

They may misrepresent you, accuse you or create obstacles.

Their hostility is real, but it is not final authority.

God may stop them.

God may expose them.

God may lead you to establish firm boundaries.

God may use their opposition to keep your ministry dependent upon Him.

But no one should use Paul's thorn to tell a victim to remain in preventable danger or abuse.

An abuser is not granted divine authority by being called a thorn.

Seek safety.

Seek wise counsel.

Seek lawful protection when it is needed.

God's sovereignty never requires us to call evil good.

Third, this passage speaks to the person troubled by unanswered prayer.

Perhaps you have asked God to change the same circumstance many times.

You have wondered whether He heard you.

Paul's testimony tells us that an answer of sustaining grace is not divine neglect.

Christ may remove the burden.

Christ may give strength to carry what remains.

Christ may reveal a purpose you could not see at the beginning.

Continue praying honestly.

Do not confuse a different answer with an absent Lord.

Fourth, this speaks to anyone frightened by spiritual warfare.

Satan's power is real, but it is limited.

The messenger could buffet Paul without possessing Paul.

Belonging to Christ did not eliminate opposition.

Belonging to Christ did guarantee that opposition would never become ownership.

Do not become careless about spiritual warfare.

Neither should you become consumed by fear.

The center of Second Corinthians 12 is not the strength of the messenger.

It is the sufficiency of Christ.

Fifth, this passage speaks to pastors, teachers and spiritual leaders.

Extraordinary experiences do not eliminate vulnerability to pride.

Visible fruit does not make a leader spiritually invulnerable.

A polished platform is not the same as spiritual power.

God may permit limitations that keep a ministry dependent upon Him.

The purpose of ministry is not to make people admire the vessel.

It is to help them see the treasure.

Finally, the passage speaks to every believer tempted to hide weakness.

There is a difference between honest weakness and unfaithfulness.

Paul did not use weakness as an excuse for sin, laziness or irresponsibility.

He continued preaching, serving, traveling and suffering for Christ.

But he stopped believing that he had to appear self-sufficient for Christ to use him.

We often spend enormous energy trying to make sure no one knows where we hurt.

We fear that acknowledged weakness will reduce our credibility.

Paul discovered that confessed dependence could make the power of Christ more credible.

This does not mean every private pain must be announced publicly.

Wisdom still matters.

It means we do not have to pretend before God.

We may come to Christ honestly.

We may say, "This hurts."

We may say, "I cannot carry this alone."

We may ask for removal.

Then we may trust the Lord who answers with sufficient grace.

Now let us return to the question with which we began.

What was Paul's thorn in the flesh?

The honest answer is that Scripture does not identify its precise form.

The strongest contextual evidence points toward hostile Satanic opposition, perhaps expressed through false teachers, persecutors, direct demonic harassment, bodily weakness or some combination of these.

But Paul does not allow us to name one diagnosis with certainty.

Perhaps the unanswered detail serves a pastoral purpose.

If Paul had written, "My thorn was this one disease," people with another disease might decide the promise did not belong to them.

If he had named one persecutor, people facing another enemy might read the passage only as history.

If he had explained every mechanism of the demonic attack, readers might become more fascinated with Satan than with Christ.

By withholding the form, Paul allows every suffering believer to hear the greater truth.

Christ's grace is sufficient in weakness.

We do not need to know the thorn's name to know the name of the One whose grace overcame it.

Why are we often more interested in diagnosing the thorn than understanding the grace?

Would knowing the precise medical condition make Christ's answer more sufficient?

Would knowing the messenger's human name give Christ more authority?

The mystery draws us into the passage.

The sufficiency of Christ is meant to hold us there.

The final answer is not that Christians should become better at enduring pain through personal determination.

The Gospel is not a lesson in self-improvement.

The answer is Jesus Christ.

The Son of God entered human weakness.

He became flesh.

He knew hunger, weariness, rejection and grief.

He was falsely accused.

He was beaten.

A crown of thorns was pressed upon His head.

He carried the cross.

He was crucified in apparent weakness.

The world looked at Calvary and saw defeat.

God made the cross the place where sin was judged and salvation was accomplished.

Jesus died for our sins.

He was buried.

On the third day He rose from the dead in power.

Paul's suffering did not save Paul.

Paul's thorn could humble him, but only Christ's wounds could save him.

The same Savior offers grace to us today.

The Bible says in Romans 3:23:

"For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God."

Sin is our greatest problem.

We cannot remove its guilt through religious effort, personal suffering or good intentions.

Romans 6:23 says:

"For the wages of sin is death; but the gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord."

Jesus took the judgment our sins deserved.

He rose again and offers eternal life as a gift of grace.

Romans 10:9 says:

"That if thou shalt confess with thy mouth the Lord Jesus, and shalt believe in thine heart that God hath raised him from the dead, thou shalt be saved."

Verse 13 says:

"For whosoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved."

Salvation is not earned by becoming strong enough.

It is received by admitting that we cannot save ourselves and trusting the sufficient Savior.

If you have never trusted Jesus Christ, you can call upon Him today.

The words of a prayer do not save you.

Jesus Christ saves those who sincerely repent and trust Him.

You may express that faith from your heart with words like these.

Lord Jesus,

I know that I am a sinner.

I know that I cannot save myself.

I believe that You are the Son of God.

I believe that You died upon the cross for my sins.

I believe that You were buried and rose again.

I turn from my sin and place my trust in You alone.

Forgive me.

Save me.

Be my Lord and Savior.

Thank You for hearing me and receiving me.

In Jesus' name,

Amen.

If you prayed that prayer sincerely, please do not leave today without speaking with me or another trusted Christian.

We would be honored to pray with you, answer your questions and help you begin walking with Christ.

If you are joining us from a distance, contact us through www.ReasonTogether.Church so we can encourage you and help you connect with a faithful local church.

Let us close in prayer.

Heavenly Father,

Thank You for giving us the testimony of Paul.

Thank You for telling us what we need to know without satisfying every curiosity.

Thank You that Satan's hostility never escapes Your authority.

Thank You that a messenger could buffet Paul but could not own him.

Thank You for the preventive grace that protected Paul from pride.

Forgive us for the times we have judged suffering believers or offered explanations You never gave us.

Teach us to pray honestly for relief.

Give wisdom to seek treatment, safety, counsel and help when those things are needed.

Where You choose to remove the thorn, may Christ receive the glory.

Where You allow weakness to remain, make Your grace unmistakably sufficient.

Comfort those who are tired of praying the same prayer.

Protect those facing hostile opposition.

Strengthen those living with illness or limitation.

Give courage to those experiencing spiritual warfare.

Keep pastors, teachers and leaders humble before You.

May the power of Christ rest upon every place of surrendered weakness.

For anyone who called upon Jesus today, give assurance through the promises of Scripture and lead that person into faithful Christian fellowship.

Help us leave this place knowing that the thorn may explain why Paul felt weak, but Christ explains why Paul was never powerless.

In Jesus' name we pray.

Amen.

Before we say goodbye, I want to encourage you to carry this conversation into the coming week.

Read Second Corinthians chapters 10 through 13 together so you can see the thorn within its full setting.

Then read Numbers 33, Joshua 23, Judges 2 and Ezekiel 28 and notice how the Bible uses thorn imagery.

Do not spend the week trying to invent a diagnosis Paul refused to provide.

Ask instead where Christ may be teaching you to depend upon His sufficient grace.

Talk about it with your family or a trusted friend.

If you are carrying a persistent weakness, an unanswered prayer or a season of opposition, please do not carry it alone.

I am available after the service and throughout the week if you need prayer, counsel or simply someone willing to listen.

Join us in the Reason Together Cafe for coffee and conversation.

There are free refills on both.

Paul entered his prayer asking for the thorn to leave.

He left the conversation knowing that Christ would remain.

He wanted a different circumstance.

Christ promised a sufficient presence.

He wanted his weakness removed.

Christ made his weakness the place where divine power would rest.

The thorn may remain beneath us, but the power of Christ rests upon us.

Thank you for being with us today.

Aloha Nui Loa.

COMMENTARY ORIENTATION

Second Corinthians 12:7-10 is among the most familiar descriptions of Christian weakness and one of the most frequently diagnosed passages in the New Testament. Paul names a thorn in the flesh, identifies it as a messenger of Satan and says that it buffeted him. He also leaves out the one detail generations of readers have most wanted. He never tells us what visible form the thorn took.

That omission has produced proposals ranging from poor eyesight, malaria and epilepsy to depression, temptation, persecution, hostile teachers and direct demonic harassment. Some proposals are possible. Several possess real supporting evidence. None can honestly be called certain because none supplies an identifying statement that Paul himself withheld.

The lack of a diagnosis does not leave the passage empty. Paul tells us the thorn's severity, hostile association, repeated action, preventive purpose and spiritual outcome. He records three requests for removal, Christ's answer of sufficient grace and the surprising change by which weakness became the place where Christ's power rested upon him.

CENTRAL COMMENTARY CLAIM | Paul withheld the diagnosis, but he supplied the theology. The precise form of the thorn remains unresolved while its Satanic hostility, preventive purpose, repeated buffeting and Christ-centered answer remain clear.

This Commentary therefore refuses two equal and opposite mistakes. It will not pretend that the thorn was merely a vague inconvenience with no Satanic dimension. It will also not turn a possible interpretation into a certainty Scripture never states. The investigation must take every word seriously while allowing the clearest words to control the disputed ones.

The governing question is larger than, What was the thorn? The passage asks how an affliction associated with Satan could be permitted to restrain pride, how faithful prayer could receive an answer other than removal and how the power of Christ could become most visible in acknowledged human weakness. Those are the questions Paul actually answers.

THE LARGER ARGUMENT OF SECOND CORINTHIANS

Second Corinthians 10-13: Paul's reluctant defense

The thorn should not be separated from Paul's defense of his apostleship. In chapters 10-13 he answers critics who evaluated ministry by visible strength, rhetorical polish and impressive credentials. They charged that his bodily presence was weak and his speech contemptible. They promoted themselves as superior apostles and treated weakness as evidence against him.

Paul responds with what he repeatedly calls foolish boasting. He can list Hebrew ancestry, ministry labor, suffering and extraordinary revelation, but he turns the entire exercise upside down. His most characteristic boast is not escape from weakness. It is the weakness that makes dependence upon Christ unmistakable.

The basket in which Paul escaped Damascus appears immediately before the vision and the thorn. In ordinary honor culture, being lowered through a window in a basket would hardly serve as a heroic

credential. Paul places it at the climax of his catalogue because his apostleship is not built upon self-manufactured greatness.

The thorn therefore belongs to a sustained argument. It is not an isolated devotional illustration inserted without context. It answers an apostolic crisis in which false standards of strength threatened to obscure the way Christ displays His power.

Second Corinthians 12:1-6: revelations and restraint

Paul speaks of a man in Christ who was caught up to the third heaven and into paradise. His guarded third-person language resists self-display, yet verse 7 makes clear that he was the recipient of the revelations. He heard unspeakable words that were not lawful for a man to utter.

The revelation was real, but revelation did not make Paul incapable of pride. Spiritual privilege can become the raw material of self-exaltation when the servant begins to confuse a gift received with greatness possessed. The text does not accuse Paul of already boasting sinfully. It identifies a danger from which he was being protected.

The phrase 'lest I should be exalted above measure' appears twice in verse 7 in the King James Bible. The repetition frames the thorn and states its purpose. Any interpretation that treats the thorn only as punishment for past wrongdoing misses the preventive language of the verse.

This is severe mercy. God does not regard pride as a harmless personality feature. He protects the usefulness and soul of His servant even through a permitted weakness Paul would not have chosen.

Second Corinthians 12:10: Paul's own field of reference

Paul closes the paragraph by naming infirmities, reproaches, necessities, persecutions and distresses for Christ's sake. These terms widen the interpretive field. They show that weakness can include bodily limitation, humiliating treatment, pressure, persecution and other forms of affliction.

Verse 10 does not prove that every item in the list is the thorn. It does show how Paul applies Christ's answer. The grace given for the thorn teaches him how to understand the whole range of weaknesses encountered in ministry.

The immediate context therefore resists a narrow medical diagnosis. Bodily illness remains possible, but Paul's own application includes hostile opposition and persecution. The interpreter must keep the full Pauline category open.

THE WORD 'THORN'

Skolops: more than a minor irritation

The Greek noun translated 'thorn' is skolops. It can describe a pointed stake, splinter or sharp object. The exact physical size is debated, but every reasonable sense communicates piercing pain rather than a mild annoyance.

Modern speech often calls an irritating person or recurring inconvenience a thorn in the side. Paul's wording is stronger. The object is in the flesh and the associated messenger repeatedly strikes him. The image communicates severe, persistent affliction.

The rarity of the noun cautions against building too much upon its shape. Paul is not providing a medical diagram. He is selecting an image capable of holding pain, persistence and humiliation without revealing the mechanism.

The metaphor also explains why simplistic encouragement can sound cruel. Christ does not tell Paul that the thorn is imaginary or small. He answers a burden serious enough to bring the apostle to repeated prayer.

'In the flesh' does not settle the diagnosis

The phrase 'in the flesh' naturally allows a bodily affliction. Paul may be speaking of something experienced physically, and physical theories should not be dismissed. Yet the word flesh can refer to embodied human existence without naming a disease.

Persecution can produce pain in the flesh. A beating, stoning, deprivation or lasting injury is bodily even when its cause is a hostile person. Direct spiritual attack may also be experienced through bodily weakness without becoming possession.

The phrase therefore identifies the location of suffering more readily than its medical cause. The thorn wounds Paul's embodied life. It does not tell the reader whether the immediate instrument was disease, injury, opposition, demonic harassment or some combination.

COMMENTARY INSIGHT | The thorn wounded Paul's flesh while protecting his soul. Its pain was real, but its preventive purpose reached deeper than the place where the pain was felt.

OLD TESTAMENT THORNS AND HOSTILE PEOPLE

Numbers 33:55: thorns in Israel's sides

Before Christians turned Paul's thorn into a medical diagnosis, the Old Testament had already used thorn imagery for hostile people. Numbers 33:55 warns that inhabitants allowed to remain in the land would become 'pricks in your eyes, and thorns in your sides' and would vex Israel.

The image joins painful penetration with continuing human hostility. These are not literal plants and they are not diseases. They are people whose opposition repeatedly troubles God's people.

The Septuagint, the ancient Greek translation widely known in the early church, uses skolops in this passage. The shared noun makes the connection more than an English coincidence. Paul had a ready Biblical image for painful hostile opposition.

The parallel does not prove that Paul's thorn was one particular enemy. His phrase adds 'in the flesh,' 'messenger of Satan' and 'to buffet me.' It does, however, require hostile-person interpretations to receive more serious consideration than they often receive.

Joshua 23:13 and Judges 2:3: the continuing pattern

Joshua repeats the warning that remaining nations would become snares, traps, scourges in Israel's sides and thorns in their eyes. Judges records the consequences when Israel failed to obey. The nations would become thorns and their gods a snare.

The vocabulary varies, but the theological pattern is stable. Hostile peoples remain near, repeatedly vex the covenant community and become painful instruments of discipline or testing.

These passages do not permit every opponent to be labeled a divinely assigned thorn. They establish only that Paul's Bible already used thorn language for persistent human opposition. Context must determine whether he draws upon that pattern.

Ezekiel 28:24: a pricking brier

Ezekiel promises that Israel will no longer have a 'pricking brier' or a 'grieving thorn' among surrounding peoples who despised them. The Septuagint again uses skolops for the thorn.

The passage strengthens the association between thorns and hostile neighbors. Its presence does not exclude bodily affliction or demonic agency in Paul. Metaphors can be extended, and Paul's experience may have involved more than one level of causation.

The responsible conclusion is measured. Old Testament evidence materially changes the field of possibilities, but it does not close the case. Hostile opposition becomes a leading interpretation rather than a forced certainty.

THE MESSENGER OF SATAN

Angelos: an angel or an envoy

Paul calls the thorn 'the messenger of Satan.' The Greek word angelos means messenger. Context determines whether it refers to a heavenly being or a human envoy.

Because the messenger belongs to Satan, a demonic agent is a natural possibility. The phrase should not be emptied into a vague synonym for trouble. Paul deliberately locates the affliction within real spiritual opposition.

Yet angelos does not require an invisible spirit. Human messengers can carry another's purpose. A false teacher, persecutor or coordinated group may function as Satan's messenger while remaining fully human.

The best interpretation may involve combined agency. Scripture can describe Satan working through human instruments without erasing either moral responsibility or spiritual conflict. The messenger may have had a demonic source, a human face or both.

The false apostles as Satan's ministers

The immediate Corinthian context gives the human-opposition reading special weight. In 2 Corinthians 11:13-15 Paul calls his rivals false apostles and deceitful workers. He then says Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light and that his ministers appear as ministers of righteousness.

The language is strikingly close. Paul has just described human religious agents as Satan's ministers. Soon afterward he describes a messenger of Satan that buffets him. This proximity is too significant to ignore.

The context still does not identify one false apostle as the thorn. Paul never names the messenger, and his singular language could summarize a broader agency. The connection raises hostile teachers to contextual priority without providing a complete identification.

This reading also fits the argument about apparent strength. The false apostles discredit Paul because of weakness. Their opposition may itself have become the weakness through which Christ displayed His power.

'To buffet me': repeated blows

The verb translated 'buffet' carries the sense of striking with the fist. Its form supports repeated action. Paul is not describing one forgotten incident but an assault that kept landing.

The same verb family can describe physical blows and humiliating mistreatment. The image fits direct harassment, persecution or a recurring condition that repeatedly knocks down human strength.

Paul's wording keeps hostility in view. A neutral inconvenience does not buffet. Something opposed to him was actively striking, even while God sovereignly limited its reach and overruled its purpose.

INTERPRETIVE BOUNDARY | The phrase 'messenger of Satan' must be taken seriously, but it must not be expanded into details Paul never gives. The text names hostile agency without naming the visible mechanism.

MAJOR INTERPRETATIONS CONSIDERED

Physical illness or disability

A physical condition is possible because Paul says the thorn was in the flesh and frequently speaks of infirmity. Galatians 4:13-15 mentions an infirmity of the flesh during his ministry among the Galatians. Their willingness to pluck out their eyes for him has led many to suggest an eye disorder.

Galatians 6:11 refers to the large letter Paul wrote with his own hand, which some connect with poor vision. Second Corinthians 10:10 records criticism of his weak bodily presence. These observations make bodily weakness plausible.

None identifies the thorn. The eye language may express affection, the large letters may emphasize personal authorship and weak presence may describe unimpressive appearance rather than disease. Even if Paul had an eye condition, Scripture never calls it the thorn.

Specific diagnoses such as malaria, epilepsy, migraine, speech disorder or depression depend upon symptoms the passage does not report. They may help modern readers imagine persistent suffering, but imagination is not evidence.

A lasting injury from persecution

Paul's ministry history included beatings, stoning, imprisonment, hunger, cold and repeated danger. A lasting injury from those events could have remained in his body and continually reminded him of weakness.

This possibility joins bodily suffering with hostile agency. It also fits the catalogue immediately preceding the thorn. Yet no particular wound is singled out, and the continuing messenger language still requires explanation.

The theory is responsible as a possibility but not as a conclusion. It illustrates how physical, human and Satanic dimensions may overlap without allowing the interpreter to choose one event.

Temptation, guilt or inward struggle

Some interpreters have proposed recurring temptation, remembered guilt or deep inward anguish. Paul knew the conflict between flesh and Spirit, grieved over Israel and carried daily concern for the churches.

The main difficulty is Paul's language. He describes a messenger of Satan buffeting him rather than naming a moral failure or guilty memory. The repeated purpose is prevention of pride, not forgiveness of a particular sin.

The proposal cannot be made impossible, but it lacks the direct textual support enjoyed by physical weakness and hostile opposition. It should not become the sermon's center.

Hostile opponents or false teachers

The hostile-opposition interpretation draws strength from the Old Testament thorn passages, the Septuagint use of skolops, Paul's catalogue of persecutions and the immediate discussion of false apostles as Satan's ministers.

It also explains how a human being may be a messenger of Satan without requiring demon possession. A person can carry Satan's lies, oppose Gospel ministry and strike the apostle through slander, intimidation or persecution.

Its limitation is equally important. Paul does not name an opponent or say that the thorn was a false apostle. Hostile opposition deserves first consideration, but the exact human form remains unidentified.

Direct demonic harassment

A direct demonic messenger remains possible because angelos Satana naturally permits an angelic being aligned with Satan. Job 1-2 shows Satan afflicting a righteous servant under explicit divine limitation. Luke 22:31-32 shows Satan desiring to sift Peter while Christ intercedes for him.

Paul elsewhere says Satan hindered ministry travel and warns of spiritual wickedness. The New Testament does not treat Satanic opposition as a primitive way of naming ordinary inconvenience.

The limitation is that Paul does not describe a manifestation, voice, inhabitation or exorcism. Direct attack is possible, but the mechanism is not revealed. A demon-centered reconstruction would go beyond the text and distract from Christ's answer.

The sound conclusion preserves the reality of attack without sensationalism. Satan could strike Paul, but he could not own Paul.

A combined experience

The alternatives are not necessarily mutually exclusive. Satanic agency can operate through human opponents. Human persecution can produce bodily injury. A physical limitation can be intensified by spiritual accusation or used by hostile teachers to discredit ministry.

A combined interpretation often fits the layered language better than a single diagnosis. It honors the thorn in the flesh, the messenger of Satan and the Corinthian context at once.

Even this synthesis must remain a possibility. Paul gives enough detail to identify the conflict and enough silence to prevent reconstruction. Interpretive humility is not failure. It is obedience to the limits of revelation.

DIVINE PERMISSION AND SATANIC INTENTION**'There was given to me'**

Paul says the thorn 'was given' to him. The passive wording strongly suggests that the affliction did not arrive outside divine sovereignty. Yet Paul immediately identifies the hostile messenger as belonging to Satan.

The verse therefore places two intentions around one suffering. Satan intends to buffet. God permits and overrules the event so that Paul will not be exalted above measure. The same event can be malicious in its hostile intention and merciful in its sovereign limitation.

This distinction must be protected. God does not become evil because He rules over evil. Satan does not become a sanctifier because God overrules Satan's attack. Holiness belongs to God's purpose. Malice belongs to Satan's purpose.

Genesis 50:20 provides a useful theological pattern. Joseph's brothers meant evil against him, but God meant the event unto good. The intentions are not morally equal even when they concern the same history.

Permission is not abandonment

Job's suffering demonstrates that Satanic attack can occur only within limits God establishes. Luke 22 shows Christ's intercession surrounding Satan's desire to sift Peter. Neither passage makes suffering easy, but both deny that Satan possesses independent sovereignty.

Paul's thorn belongs within the same confidence. The messenger can buffet but cannot finally define. Satan can oppose the servant but cannot sever him from Christ, cancel his calling or turn the outcome away from the Lord's purpose.

Divine permission should never be used to make a sufferer passive in preventable danger. Paul prayed for removal. Believers may seek safety, treatment, counsel, justice and relief. Sovereignty is a foundation for trust, not an excuse for neglect.

Preventive grace

The repeated phrase 'lest I should be exalted above measure' identifies protection from pride. Paul had received an abundance of revelations, and the thorn kept extraordinary privilege from becoming self-exaltation.

Preventive grace often cannot be recognized by looking only at what hurts. Paul saw a messenger that buffeted him. Christ revealed a purpose that guarded him. The pain did not become good in itself, but it was prevented from being spiritually useless.

This does not mean every suffering has the same stated purpose. Scripture explicitly interprets Paul's thorn. We should not announce a hidden purpose for another person's burden when God has not revealed it.

COMMENTARY INSIGHT | The thorn was not proof that God had abandoned Paul. Under Christ's rule, the very assault intended to diminish the apostle became a guard against the pride that could have destroyed his usefulness.

PAUL'S THREE PRAYERS**Faith asked for removal**

Paul says, 'For this thing I besought the Lord thrice, that it might depart from me.' The apostle does not romanticize the thorn. He asks for it to leave.

His repeated prayer rebukes the notion that mature faith never asks for relief. Faith can acknowledge sovereignty and still plead for healing, deliverance, safety or change. Submission is not the denial of desire.

The number three may remind readers of Christ's repeated prayer in Gethsemane, though Paul does not explicitly make the comparison. At minimum it shows earnest persistence followed by a settled answer.

Nothing in the passage suggests that Paul prayed wrongly or lacked faith. The requested removal was not granted because Christ had a different purpose, not because apostolic faith was defective.

The Lord whom Paul besought

Paul says he besought 'the Lord.' The answer speaks of 'my grace' and 'my strength,' and Paul immediately says that the power of Christ would rest upon him. The natural reading is that Paul prayed to the risen Christ and Christ answered.

This quiet detail carries substantial Christological weight. The apostle directs repeated petition to Christ and receives from Christ the grace and power sufficient for his life.

The passage does not present Christ as a distant observer explaining suffering from afar. He is the Lord who hears, answers, supplies and rests His power upon the weak servant.

An answer different from the request

The text does not say Christ ignored Paul. It records a direct answer. The answer was not the departure of the messenger but the sufficiency of grace.

Unremoved suffering is not the same as unanswered prayer. Sometimes the Lord's answer changes circumstances. Here the answer changed Paul's understanding, resources and response while the weakness remained.

This truth must be offered gently. It is not a slogan to silence grief. Paul was allowed to ask three times before he testified of contentment. Biblical faith makes room for petition before it speaks of settled confidence.

CHRIST'S ANSWER**'My grace is sufficient for thee'**

Christ's answer is personal: 'My grace is sufficient for thee.' He does not offer Paul an abstract principle. He pledges His own grace as adequate for the actual burden.

Sufficient does not mean the pain is imaginary, the burden is light or relief should never be sought. It means Christ's supply will not prove inadequate for what He permits His servant to carry.

Grace here includes more than the beginning of salvation. It is the continuing favor, presence and enabling help of Christ. The grace that saves also sustains.

Paul wanted the thorn removed from his life. Christ promised that grace would not be removed from him. The permanence of the provision becomes greater than the persistence of the affliction.

'My strength is made perfect in weakness'

The word translated 'made perfect' does not mean that Christ's power was morally defective until Paul suffered. It means that divine power reaches its intended expression or completion in the setting of acknowledged human insufficiency.

Human strength can conceal its source. When an impressive servant appears self-sufficient, hearers may credit personality, education or force of will. Weakness removes the illusion that the treasure comes from the earthen vessel.

Christ's power is not produced by weakness. It is displayed through weakness. Paul does not become powerful by celebrating inability. Christ remains the power, and Paul becomes the place where that power is seen.

This reverses the standards of the false apostles. They offered visible impressiveness as proof of authority. Christ identifies dependent weakness as the theater of His strength.

'That the power of Christ may rest upon me'

Paul says he will glory in infirmities so that the power of Christ may 'rest upon' him. The verb carries the picture of pitching a tent or dwelling over someone. It suggests power taking up residence rather than making a brief visit.

The image may echo the tabernacling presence of God, though the lexical picture should not be pressed into a complete doctrine. Its pastoral force is plain. Weakness becomes a place covered by Christ's power.

Paul does not say the thorn rested upon him in the final sense. He says the power of Christ rested upon him. The affliction may describe what struck him, but Christ describes what remained over him.

COMMENTARY INSIGHT | Paul's weakness became the address where the power of Christ took up residence. The thorn may explain why Paul felt weak, but Christ explains why Paul was never powerless.

PAUL'S TRANSFORMED RESPONSE

From departure to delight

Before Christ's answer, Paul asks that the thorn depart. After Christ's answer, he says he will 'most gladly therefore' glory in infirmities. The circumstances may remain, but the apostle's interpretation changes.

Gladness does not mean Paul begins to enjoy pain as pain. He delights in what the weakness makes room to display. His joy is located in the resting power of Christ.

This distinction protects the passage from spiritualized masochism. Christianity does not teach that wounds are automatically holy. It teaches that no weakness surrendered to Christ can prevent His grace from being sufficient.

Paul's transformed response is evidence that Christ's answer was effective. Grace did not merely help him survive another day. It reordered what he considered worthy of boasting.

Infirmities, reproaches, necessities, persecutions and distresses

Paul expands his response beyond the unnamed thorn. He now views the whole field of ministry weakness through Christ's promise. Infirmities may include bodily weakness. Reproaches involve insult. Necessities describe pressure. Persecutions identify hostile pursuit. Distresses communicate narrow places where human options collapse.

The qualifying phrase is 'for Christ's sake.' Paul is not endorsing every avoidable hardship, foolish decision or consequence of sin. He is speaking of weakness encountered in faithful belonging and service to Christ.

This distinction also protects victims of abuse. No abuser gains the right to continue harm by being called someone's thorn. Paul sought departure, and Scripture elsewhere commands protection, justice and truth.

'When I am weak, then am I strong'

Paul's final statement is a paradox, not a contradiction. He is weak in himself and strong in the power supplied by Christ. The categories remain distinct.

The verse does not say weakness is strength. It says that acknowledged weakness becomes the setting in which another strength is known. Paul does not graduate from dependence. He discovers dependence as the stable condition of Christian ministry.

The confession leaves no room for self-congratulation. If strength appears, Christ receives the glory. If weakness remains, the servant is not disqualified.

DEMONIC ATTACK WITHOUT POSSESSION

The attack was real

Paul's language should not be softened because modern readers are uncomfortable with spiritual warfare. He names Satan. The New Testament presents Satan as a personal adversary who deceives, tempts, hinders and attacks.

Job experienced bodily affliction under Satanic attack. Peter faced Satan's desire to sift him. Paul said Satan hindered ministry travel. Believers are commanded to resist the devil and stand against spiritual wickedness.

These passages show that belonging to God does not make a believer immune from external opposition. They also show that Satan acts under divine limitation and cannot determine the final outcome.

Paul was not demon possessed

Nothing in 2 Corinthians 12 describes inhabitation, ownership or control by a demon. Paul identifies an external messenger that buffets him. He remains a man in Christ, an apostle of Christ and a servant upon whom Christ's power rests.

The text therefore supports attack, not possession. If the messenger was a demonic being, it assaulted Paul under permission and limitation. It did not own his body, replace the Holy Spirit or sever his identity in Christ.

This distinction allows the church to take spiritual warfare seriously without creating fear that every persistent struggle means demonic possession. Satan could strike Paul, but he could not possess what belonged to Christ.

A complete doctrine of whether a Christian can be demon possessed would require a wider study. This passage contributes an important boundary, but it should not be forced to answer every question by itself.

Why the messenger must not become the center

Paul gives one phrase to the messenger and the rest of the paragraph to purpose, prayer, grace, power and transformed response. The inspired proportion should govern Christian attention.

Speculation about a demon's name, rank, method or manifestation has no support in the text. Such curiosity can magnify the adversary while neglecting the Lord who answered Paul.

Spiritual warfare should increase attention to Christ. The safest place in the paragraph is not a detailed theory of the messenger. It is the sufficient grace and perfected power of the Lord.

THEOLOGICAL CONCLUSIONS

Sovereignty does not erase secondary agency

The passage holds divine sovereignty and Satanic hostility together without confusion. The thorn is given within God's rule and the messenger belongs to Satan. God can govern an event without sharing the evil intention of the agent who attacks.

This protects the believer from two errors. Suffering is not evidence that Satan has escaped God's authority. It is also not necessary to call Satan's malice good in order to confess God's sovereignty.

The cross provides the supreme Gospel pattern. Wicked hands crucified Christ, yet the event unfolded within God's determined counsel and became the means of redemption. Human and Satanic evil remained evil while divine purpose triumphed.

Weakness does not invalidate calling

Paul's opponents treated visible weakness as discrediting. Christ did not remove every weakness to defend His apostle. He authenticated ministry by making divine power visible through it.

This does not eliminate qualifications for ministry or excuse sin. The weakness in view is not unrepentant unfaithfulness. It is acknowledged insufficiency carried in obedience.

The church should therefore resist a culture in which polish is mistaken for anointing and vulnerability is treated as failure. Christ's servants may be genuinely weak without being spiritually useless.

Grace is both saving and sustaining

The grace that answers Paul is Christ's grace. It is not positive thinking, emotional toughness or a hidden strength Paul discovers inside himself.

Christian endurance rests upon the same Lord who saves sinners. Jesus entered human weakness, bore sin at the cross, rose in power and now supplies grace to those who belong to Him.

Paul's thorn could humble him, but only Christ's wounds could save him. The passage must therefore arrive at the Gospel rather than ending with a generic lesson about resilience.

Prayer is faithful even when removal is denied

Paul's three requests establish that repeated prayer for relief can be faithful. Christ's answer establishes that a denied request can still be a loving answer.

The believer is not forced to choose between asking boldly and submitting humbly. Paul does both. He asks until the Lord's answer becomes clear, then rests in the grace supplied.

The passage does not promise that every burden will remain. It promises that Christ's grace is not defeated when a burden does remain.

PASTORAL COMMENTARY

For the believer who has prayed repeatedly

A person may read Paul's three prayers and feel immediate recognition. The same request has been carried to God again and again, yet the circumstance has not departed.

This passage does not authorize anyone to accuse that believer of insufficient faith. Paul had faith, prayed directly to Christ and still received an answer different from removal.

The pastoral invitation is not to stop praying because nothing matters. It is to listen for the grace Christ supplies even while continuing to seek wise relief. His answer may change the circumstance, sustain the sufferer within it or lead through a process not yet visible.

For the believer living with physical weakness

Physical illness and disability do not automatically reveal the identity of Paul's thorn, but Paul's theology speaks directly to embodied weakness. The body can hurt, limit and embarrass without placing a believer beyond Christ's power.

Medical care, treatment and prayer are not competitors. Paul asked for departure. Seeking appropriate care does not deny sufficient grace, and receiving treatment does not make a person less dependent upon Christ.

The promise is not that every limitation will disappear in this life. It is that Christ is not made absent by limitation. His power can rest upon the very life the world calls unimpressive.

For the believer facing hostile opposition

The Old Testament and Corinthian context give special comfort to those opposed because of faithful service. A hostile person can become a recurring source of pain, and Satan can exploit human pride, slander and deception.

The believer should not answer by denying the hostility. Paul names the messenger's allegiance. Wisdom may require boundaries, correction, church discipline, legal protection or departure from danger.

At the same time, no opponent receives final interpretive authority over a servant of Christ. The one who buffets does not decide the outcome. Christ's grace and power do.

For the church tempted by visible strength

The Corinthian problem remains modern. Churches can measure credibility by platform, eloquence, appearance, influence and the absence of visible struggle.

Paul's thorn exposes the danger. Extraordinary gifts and revelations do not make a servant self-sufficient. The Lord may permit weakness precisely so that ministry cannot be mistaken for personal greatness.

This does not require celebrating incompetence. It requires recognizing the difference between faithful preparation and self-exalting performance. Excellence serves Christ best when dependence remains visible.

For the person afraid of spiritual attack

The mention of Satan may create fear, especially for someone who has been taught to interpret every difficulty as demonic. Paul's testimony offers a steadier center.

The messenger is real, but Christ is Lord. The attack is painful, but it is limited. The prayer is heard, grace is sufficient and Christ's power rests upon the believer.

The safest response is neither denial nor fascination. It is resistance grounded in truth, prayer, obedience and confidence in belonging to Christ.

INTERPRETIVE CAUTIONS

Do not manufacture a diagnosis

A precise disease, named opponent or specific demon may make a sermon sound decisive, but decisiveness is not the same as Biblical accuracy. Paul never gives the identifying detail.

The church should say what Scripture says with confidence and leave what Scripture leaves open with humility. The mystery of form must not obscure the clarity of function and answer.

Do not universalize the thorn

Scripture does not say every Christian receives one individually assigned thorn equivalent to Paul's. The language belongs to Paul's apostolic experience after extraordinary revelation.

Believers may apply the theology of grace in weakness without labeling every inconvenience a thorn. Ordinary frustration, consequences of poor choices and correctable problems should not be elevated into a special divine assignment.

Do not romanticize pain

Paul prayed that the thorn would depart. Any interpretation that makes seeking relief appear unspiritual contradicts his example.

The glory belongs to Christ's power, not to suffering itself. Pain can be destructive, and the church should provide care, protection and practical help while trusting the Lord.

Do not excuse abuse or preventable danger

No abusive person should be declared someone else's God-given thorn as a reason the victim must remain exposed. The text does not grant an attacker moral permission because God can overrule evil.

Satan's intention remains malicious. Appropriate safety, truth, accountability and justice are consistent with Paul's request that the affliction depart.

Do not blame deficient faith

Paul's request was sincere and repeated. Christ's refusal to remove the thorn was not a verdict that Paul lacked faith.

The passage therefore cannot support the claim that every unhealed illness or continuing hardship proves unbelief. It also cannot be used to deny that God heals. It addresses one apostle's answered prayer and the grace appointed for his continuing weakness.

Do not sensationalize the demonic

The phrase 'messenger of Satan' requires seriousness, not speculation. Paul gives no name, rank, ritual or technique for identifying the messenger.

A Commentary faithful to the passage spends more time on Christ's words than on the messenger's identity. The inspired center is sufficient grace and perfected power.

Do not make weakness an excuse for unfaithfulness

Paul continues to serve, pray, teach and endure. He does not use the thorn to justify disobedience or avoid responsibility.

Grace meets genuine weakness and empowers faithfulness. It does not rename laziness, cruelty or unrepentant sin as apostolic infirmity.

COMMENTARY SUMMARY

Second Corinthians 12 does not reveal the precise visible form of Paul's thorn. It does reveal a severe affliction in his embodied life, a messenger associated with Satan, repeated buffeting, a preventive purpose and an answer from Christ.

The Old Testament uses thorn imagery for hostile peoples, and the Septuagint uses skolops in Numbers 33:55 and Ezekiel 28:24. Paul's immediate context describes false apostles as Satan's ministers and includes reproaches and persecutions among the weaknesses in which he glories. Hostile opposition therefore deserves serious first consideration.

Bodily illness, lasting injury, direct demonic harassment and a combined experience remain possible. None should be declared certain. If a demonic agent was involved, the text describes external attack under divine limitation, never possession.

The twice-stated purpose was protection from self-exaltation following extraordinary revelation. Satan intended harm. God permitted, limited and overruled the assault toward a holy purpose without sharing Satan's malice.

Paul prayed three times for removal. His prayer was faithful. Christ answered with something greater than an explanation of the mechanism: 'My grace is sufficient for thee: for my strength is made perfect in weakness.'

Paul then moved from pleading for departure to gladly glorying in weakness so that the power of Christ might rest upon him. He did not discover strength in pain. He discovered the sufficiency of Christ within acknowledged weakness.

FINAL MINISTRY CONCLUSION | The mystery of the thorn should never obscure the clarity of the answer. The affliction was real, the hostility was Satanic, the permission was limited, the purpose was preventive, the prayer was faithful, the grace was sufficient and the power belonged to Christ.

The Gospel remains the destination. Paul was not redeemed by managing suffering well. He belonged to Jesus Christ, who entered human weakness, bore sin at the cross and rose in power. The risen Lord who saved Paul also supplied the grace by which Paul could endure.

The thorn may explain why Paul felt weak, but Christ explains why he was never powerless.

A FINAL WORD

Paul never tells the church the name of the thorn. That silence has frustrated curiosity, but it has also kept the passage from belonging only to people with one diagnosis or one kind of opposition. The unnamed form allows suffering believers to hear the clear answer without pretending that every burden is identical to Paul's.

There are seasons in which a Christian cannot explain why a particular weakness remains. Second Corinthians 12 does not require complete explanation before trust becomes possible. Paul knew enough when he knew who had answered him and what grace had been promised.

Christ did not ask Paul to call the thorn harmless. He called Paul to receive grace as sufficient. He did not tell Paul that human weakness was secretly impressive. He revealed that divine power does not depend upon human impressiveness.

The final movement of the passage is therefore away from diagnosis and toward dependence. The messenger is not the last voice. The thorn is not the final reality. Christ speaks, grace is supplied, power rests upon weakness and the servant continues.

When the form of suffering remains a mystery, the identity of the Savior does not. Jesus Christ is sufficient for the believer He has redeemed, and His power has not withdrawn simply because weakness can still be felt.

END OF BIBLICAL COMMENTARY